

Patent and Tra. ... iark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NUMBER		·			
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.	
08/795,961	02/04/97	FYSON	J	J 71442JRE	
·				EXAMENER	
		A3M1/1128			
SARAH MEEK	S ROBERTS DAK COMPANY		MCCART		
PATENT LEG		•	<del></del>	- //	
	NY 14650-2201	L	1308	4	
			DATE MAILEC	11/28/97	
			* .		
This is a communication from COMMISSIONER OF PATEI					
	c	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY			
Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on				
This action is FINAL.		•			
	mailing date of this com	ion is set to expire	he period for respon		
sposition of Claims					
Claim(s)	<i>1-</i>	20	is/are pen		
				ding in the application	
Of the above, claim(s)					
Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s)					
Claim(s)		>	is/are withdra	wn from consideration	
Claim(s)			is/are withdra	wn from consideration is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	1-20	are si	is/are withdra	wn from considerationis/are allowedis/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	1-20		is/are withdra	wn from considerationis/are allowedis/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	1-20 Le drawnigs	are so have been approxent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	is/are withdra	wn from considerationis/are allowedis/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement dvaffs presso	
Claim(s) Cla	1-26 Le drawings of Draftsperson's Paten	are so have been approx at Drawing Review, PTO-948.  Is/are objected	ubject to restriction  Let by the	wn from considerationis/are allowedis/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement dvalfsperso	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  plication Papers  See the attached Notice of The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing co	1-20 Le drawings of Draftsperson's Paten	are so have been approx at Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are objected	ubject to restriction  Let by the	wn from considerationis/are allowedis/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement dvaffs presso	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  Pilication Papers  See the attached Notice of The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing co The specification is object The oath or declaration is	1-20 Le drawnigs of Draftsperson's Paten prection, filed on ted to by the Examiner. s objected to by the Exa	are so the property of the pro	is/are withdra	wn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement dvalspresso	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing co The specification is object The oath or declaration is	1-20 Le drawnigs of Draftsperson's Paten prection, filed on ted to by the Examiner. s objected to by the Exa	are so the property of the pro	is/are withdra	wn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement dvalspresso	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing co The specification is object The oath or declaration is	1-20 Le drawnigs of Draftsperson's Paten prection, filed on ted to by the Examiner. s objected to by the Exa	are so the property of the pro	is/are withdra	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement dvalfs pressor ad disapproved.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)  See the attached Notice of The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing coon The specification is object The oath or declaration is contributed under 35 U.S.C. § 11  Acknowledgment is made	I — ZU  Le drawings of Draftsperson's Paten prrection, filed on ted to by the Examiner. s objected to by the Exa  19 e of a claim for foreign p	are so have been approx at Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected	ubject to restriction  approve  It by The  approve  U-S. Apples	wn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. or election requirement dvalspresso	

## Attachment(s)

Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_

M. Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Serial Number: 08/795,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 1308

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3635219 A1 in view of Yan '063.

DE 3635219 (hereinafter "the German reference") discloses the oxidation of dilute aqueous solutions of thiosulphate with hydrogen peroxide catalyzed with a solution of molybdate. The instant claims differ from the German reference in reciting that the "catalyst is immobilized on a substrate therefor." The instant specification discloses that a disadvantage of the German reference is that while sulfur-containing species are reduced by the catalytic oxidation process, transition metal catalysts, e.g., molybdenum, are subsequently released into the environment as contaminants. The specification then states that this problem is overcome by immobilizing the transition metal catalysts onto a substrate therefor. Yan discloses a catalytic oxidation process for

Serial Number: 08/795,961 Page 3

Art Unit: 1308

removing species including reduced sulfur species from waste water by contacting the waste water with an oxidizing agent in the presence of a transition metal, such as molybdenum or a compound thereof, supported on a support including ion-exchange resins and other porous resins that are inert under the hydrothermal conditions present in the oxidation process, note col. 6, lines 34+. Yan specifically discloses that use of such supported transition metal catalyst results in little of the metal catalyst from being leached from the surface of the support and remaining in the treated waste effluent, note col. 2, lines 0-30. It is thus submitted that it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time that the invention was made, to have supported a transition metal catalyst as disclosed by the German reference onto a support as is taught by Yan in order to minimize discharge of toxic transition metals into the treated waste effluent. The German reference suggests that the process is useful to treat photographic effluents, and the instant claims directed to treatment of such effluents would thus have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art. It is further submitted that the supports utilized in Yan are porous and would thus present a large surface area of catalyst to the effluent to be treated, as claimed in instant claim 7. It is submitted that addition of a basic agent would have been obvious in order to adjust pH to a level at which the process would proceed efficiently. It is noted that Yan, Example 1, discloses a pH of 8.4, which is within the pH range recited in claim 12. The sources of alkali claimed are conventional agents used for pH adjustments in waste water treatment applications and such would have been obvious in the process of the German reference as modified by Yan. The apparatus for contacting the photographic waste effluent with the

Serial Number: 08/795,961

Art Unit: 1308

supported catalyst as recited in claims 15-20 is submitted to be broadly readable on the packed bed as disclosed by Yan, col. 8, lines 51-60 as being an "effective and efficient contactor". Other contacting apparatuses would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art. Continuous flow, inlets, outlets, holding tank, and pumps, are all conventional equipment used to handle waste water being oxidatively treated in a continuous-flow manner. For the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that the claimed invention, at the time that it was made, would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art over the German reference in view of Yan '063.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil M. McCarthy whose telephone number is (703) 308-3842.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1308

nmm

November 24, 1997

NEIL MCCARTHY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300